

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Workington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/830,878	11.20/2001	Robert N. Bowman	N1121-037	5335	
32905	7590 03 27/2003				
JONDLE & ASSOCIATES P.C.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 200	MINERAL CIRCLE		MELLER, M	MELLER, MICHAEL V	
CENTENNIAL, CO 80112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	13	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-on Comment		09/830,878	BOWMAN, ROBERT N.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael V. Meller	1654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	36(a) In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S C § 133)				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊡	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3 and 14-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡	6) Claim(s) 2 and 4-13 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	ceived.				
dtachmen							
= 3) 🔙 Inforr	e of References (Life for Comm.) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PÎO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	6) [_] Other					
. S. Parent an • Tr . □ I. (.), 3,275 (r?(r)	adentirk Office	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 13				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 2, 4-13 in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1, 3, 14-35 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

The restriction is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Good body et al., Jovanovics et al., Conrad et al. (1979), or Conrad et al. (US Patent No. 4199504)

Art Unit: 1654

Each of the references teaches the claimed alkaloid from Catharanthus. It is inherent that the claimed alkaloid compound is in the plant described in the references since it is the same plant as taught in the instant specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Good body et al., Jovanovics et al., Conrad et al. (1979), or Conrad et al. (US Patent No. 4199504).

Each of the references teaches the claimed alkaloid from Catharanthus. It is inherent that the claimed alkaloid compound is in the plant described in the references since it is the same plant as taught in the instant specification.

It would have been obvious to isolate the claimed alkaloid from the plant since the plant is the same in the references as claimed. The purity of the compounds from an isolation of the compounds from the plant to achieve any of the claimed compounds is

Application/Control Number: 09/830,878

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone

supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM March 26, 2003